# SHORT INTERVAL RESULTS FOR CERTAIN PRIME-INDEPENDENT MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

OLIVIER BORDELLÈS

Abstract. Using recent results from the theory of integer points close to smooth curves, we give an asymptotic formula for the distribution of values of a class of integer-valued prime-independent multiplicative functions.

arXiv:1609.02724v1 [math.NT] 9 Sep 2016

## 1. Introduction and result

A prime-independent multiplicative function is a multiplicative arithmetic function $f$ satisfying $f(1) = 1$ and such that there exists a map $g : \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(0) = 1$ and, for any prime powers $p^\alpha$

$$f\left(p^\alpha\right) = g(\alpha).$$

In this article, we only consider integer-valued prime-independent multiplicative functions $f$ verifying $f(p) = 1$ for any prime $p$. This is equivalent to the fact that $g(1) = 1$ and we also assume that there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$ such that

$$g(1) = \cdots = g(r-1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \geqslant r \Rightarrow g(\alpha) > 1. \tag{1}$$

One of the long-standing problems in number theory concerning these prime-independent multiplicative functions is the study of the distribution of their values. To this end, we fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ and set

$$S_{f,k}(x) := \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ f(n)=k}} 1$$

and define the *local density* of $f$ to be the real number

$$d_{f,k} := \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{S_{f,k}(x)}{x}$$

whenever the limit exists.

The arithmetic function $n \longmapsto a(n)$, counting the number of finite, non-isomorphic abelian groups of order $n$, is one of the well-known examples of prime-independent multiplicative functions, since $a\left(p^\alpha\right) = P(\alpha)$, where $P$ is the unrestricted partition function. The existence of the local density $d_{a,k}$ was first established in [7] and later Ivić [4] showed that

$$S_{a,k}(x) = d_{a,k}x + O\left(x^{1/2}\log x\right).$$

Further authors improved on this estimate, such as [6], [3] and [9] in which the best error term to date was established. The general case was introduced by Ivić in [6] and improved in [9] for a certain class of arithmetic functions.

The next step was the study of the distribution of values of $f$ in short intervals. By 'short intervals' we mean the study of sums of the shape

$$S_{f,k}(x+y) - S_{f,k}(x) = \sum_{\substack{x < n \leqslant x+y \\ f(n)=k}} 1$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A25, 11N37, 11L07.

*Key words and phrases.* Short sums, prime-independent multiplicative functions, local density.

where $y = o(x)$ as $x \to \infty$. In the case of $f = a$, Ivić [5] first showed that

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ a(n)=k}} 1 = d_{a,k}y + o(y)$$

holds for $y \geqslant x^{581/1744}\log x$. This value was successfully improved by many authors. For instance, by connecting the problem to the error term in certain divisor problems, Krätzel [2] showed that

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ a(n)=k}} 1 = d_{a,k}y + o(y) + O\left(x^{369/1667+\varepsilon}\right).$$

On the other hand, using results on gaps between squarefree numbers, Li [8] proved that the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ a(n)=k}} 1 = d_{a,k}y + o(y)$$

holds for $y \geqslant x^{1/5+\varepsilon}$ uniformly for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$. In the general case, Zhai [11, Theorem 2.5] showed that

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ f(n)=k}} 1 = d_{f,k}y + o(y)$$

holds for $y \geqslant x^{\frac{1}{2r+1}+\varepsilon}$ where $r$ is given in (1). The purpose of this work is to establish an effective version of Zhai's result by giving a fully effective error term. More precisely, we will show the following estimate.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ fixed and $f$ be an integer-valued prime-independent multiplicative function such that $f(p) = 1$ for any prime $p$ and let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$ as in* (1)*. Let $x^{\frac{1}{2r+1}+\varepsilon} \leqslant y \leqslant 4^{-2r^2}x$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ f(n)=k}} 1 = d_{f,k}y + O_{r,\varepsilon}\left\{\left(x^{r-1}y^{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r^2}}x^{\varepsilon} + yx^{-\frac{1}{6(4r-1)(2r-1)}+\varepsilon} + y^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}}x^{\varepsilon}\right\}.$$

## 2. Notation and preparation for the proof

In what follows, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ is fixed and $f$ is an integer-valued prime-independent multiplicative function satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1, with $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$ given in (1).

For any arithmetic function $F$, $L(s,F)$ is its formal Dirichlet series and $F^{-1}$ is the Dirichlet convolution inverse of $F$.

Let $s_r$ be the characteristic function of the set of $r$-full numbers, $\mu_r$ be that of the set of $r$-free numbers, so that $\mu_r^{-1}$ is the multiplicative function such that $\mu_r^{-1}(1) = 1$ and given on prime powers $p^\alpha$ by

$$\mu_r^{-1}(p^\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \mid \alpha;\\ -1, & \text{if } r \mid \alpha - 1;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Finally, put

$$\mathbf{1}_{f,k}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f(n) = k;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Note that $f(n) = 1$ whenever $n$ is $r$-free so that the Dirichet series of $\mathbf{1}_{f,k}$ may be formally written as

$$L(s, \mathbf{1}_{f,k}) = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(rs)}H_{f,k,r}(s) := \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(rs)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{h_{f,k,r}(n)}{n^s} \tag{2}$$

and where the multiplicative function $h_{f,k,r}$ is supported on $r$-full numbers. Indeed

$$h_{f,k,r}(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ f(n/d)=k}} \mu_r^{-1}(d)$$

which implies that, for any prime powers $p^\alpha$ with $1 \leqslant \alpha < r$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{f,k,r}\left(p^\alpha\right) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{f,k}\left(p^{\alpha-j}\right) \mu_r^{-1}\left(p^j\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \alpha/r \rfloor} \mathbf{1}_{f,k}\left(p^{\alpha-rj}\right) - \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (\alpha-1)/r \rfloor} \mathbf{1}_{f,k}\left(p^{\alpha-rj-1}\right) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{f,k}\left(p^\alpha\right) - \mathbf{1}_{f,k}\left(p^{\alpha-1}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $g(\alpha) = g(\alpha - 1) = 1$. This in turn implies that the Dirichlet series $H_{f,k,r}$ is absolutely convergent in the half-plane $\sigma > \frac{1}{r}$ and also that

$$|h_{f,k,r}(n)| \leqslant s_r(n)\tau(n) \tag{3}$$

for any $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$. The following bound will then be useful.

**Lemma 2.** *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} s_r(n)\tau(n) \ll x^{1/r}(\log x)^r.$$

Proof. Every $r$-full integer $n$ may be uniquely written as $n = a_1^r a_2^{r+1} \cdots a_r^{2r-1}$ with $a_2 \cdots a_r$ squarefree and $(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for $2 \leqslant i < j \leqslant r$. Since the divisor function $\tau$ is sub-multiplicative, we infer that the sum of the lemma does not exceed

$$\ll \sum_{a_r \leqslant x^{\frac{1}{2r-1}}} \tau\left(a_r^{2r-1}\right) \sum_{a_{r-1} \leqslant \left(\frac{x}{a_r^{2r-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r-2}}} \tau\left(a_{r-1}^{2r-2}\right) \cdots \sum_{a_1 \leqslant \left(\frac{x}{a_2^{r+1} \cdots a_r^{2r-1}}\right)^{1/r}} \tau\left(a_1^r\right).$$

Now the well-known bound

$$\sum_{a \leqslant z} \tau\left(a^r\right) \ll z(\log z)^r$$

applied to the last inner sum, allows us to complete the proof. □

The next result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.

**Lemma 3.** *Let $f$ be as in Theorem 1, $r$ given in (1) and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ fixed.*

1. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} \frac{|h_{f,k,r}(n)|}{n^\kappa} \ll \begin{cases} x^{-\kappa+1/r}(\log x)^r, & \text{if } 0 \leqslant \kappa < \frac{1}{r}; \\ (\log x)^{r+1}, & \text{if } \kappa = \frac{1}{r}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \kappa > \frac{1}{r}. \end{cases}$$

2. *We also have*

$$\sum_{n > x} \frac{|h_{f,k,r}(n)|}{n} \ll x^{-1+1/r}(\log x)^r.$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2, the inequality (3) and partial summation. □

## 3. $r$-free numbers in short intervals

The following lemma plays a crucial part in Theorem 1. For a proof, see [1, Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 5.1].

**Lemma 4.** *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$. For any $X \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 1}$ and $0 < Y < X$, define*

$$R_r(X,Y) := X^{\frac{1}{2r+1}} + YX^{-\frac{1}{6(4r-1)(2r-1)}} + Y^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}}. \tag{4}$$

1. *For any $X \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 1}$, $0 < Y < X$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\sum_{2Y<n\leqslant 2X} s_r(n)\left(\left\lfloor \frac{X+Y}{n}\right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{X}{n}\right\rfloor\right) \ll_{r,\varepsilon} R_r(X,Y)X^{\varepsilon}.$$

2. *For any $X \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 1}$, $4^r \leqslant Y < X$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\sum_{X<n\leqslant X+Y} \mu_r(n) = \frac{Y}{\zeta(r)} + O_{r,\varepsilon}\left(R_r(X,Y)X^{\varepsilon}\right).$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

From (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ f(n)=k}} 1 &= \sum_{d\leqslant x+y} h_{f,k,r}(d) \sum_{\frac{x}{d}<\ell\leqslant\frac{x+y}{d}} \mu_r(\ell)\\
&= \left(\sum_{d\leqslant y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}} + \sum_{y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}<d\leqslant 2y} + \sum_{2y<d\leqslant x+y}\right) h_{f,k,r}(d) \sum_{\frac{x}{d}<\ell\leqslant\frac{x+y}{d}} \mu_r(\ell)\\
&:= S_1 + S_2 + S_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For $S_1$, which will provide the main term, we use the second estimate of Lemma 4 giving

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \sum_{d\leqslant y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}} h_{f,k,r}(d)\left\{\frac{y}{d\zeta(r)} + O\left(R_r\left(\frac{x}{d},\frac{y}{d}\right)x^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\}\\
&= \frac{y}{\zeta(r)}\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}\frac{h_{f,k,r}(d)}{d} + O\left(y\sum_{d>y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}}\frac{|h_{f,k,r}(d)|}{d}\right)\\
&\quad + O\left(x^{\varepsilon}\sum_{d\leqslant y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}} |h_{f,k,r}(d)| R_r\left(\frac{x}{d},\frac{y}{d}\right)\right)\\
&= \frac{y}{\zeta(r)}H_{f,k,r}(1) + O\left(\left(x^{r-1}y^{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r^2}}(\log x)^r\right)\\
&\quad + O\left(x^{\varepsilon}\sum_{d\leqslant y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}} |h_{f,k,r}(d)| R_r\left(\frac{x}{d},\frac{y}{d}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 3 and where the error term $R_r$ is defined in (4). Using Lemma 3 again

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d\leqslant y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}} |h_{f,k,r}(d)|R_r\left(\frac{x}{d},\frac{y}{d}\right) &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2r+1}}\sum_{d\leqslant y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}}\frac{|h_{f,k,r}(d)|}{d^{\frac{1}{2r+1}}}\\ &\quad + yx^{-\frac{1}{6(4r-1)(2r-1)}}\sum_{d\leqslant y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}}\frac{|h_{f,k,r}(d)|}{d^{\frac{48r^2-36r+5}{6(2r-1)(4r-1)}}}\\ &\quad + y^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}}\sum_{d\leqslant y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}}\frac{|h_{f,k,r}(d)|}{d^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}}}\\ &\ll \left(x^{r-1}y^{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r^2}}(\log x)^r + yx^{-\frac{1}{6(4r-1)(2r-1)}} + y^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S_1 = \frac{y}{\zeta(r)}H_{f,k,r}(1) + O\left\{x^{\varepsilon}\left(\left(x^{r-1}y^{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r^2}} + yx^{-\frac{1}{6(4r-1)(2r-1)}} + y^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}}\right)\right\}. \tag{5}$$

For $S_2$, we use the second point of Lemma 3, so that

$$|S_2| \ll y\sum_{d>y(y/x)^{1/(2r)}}\frac{|h_{f,k,r}(d)|}{d} \ll \left(x^{r-1}y^{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r^2}}(\log x)^r. \tag{6}$$

Now

$$S_3 = \sum_{2y<d\leqslant x+y} h_{f,k,r}(d)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x+y}{d}\right\rfloor - \left\lfloor\frac{x}{d}\right\rfloor\right)$$

and using (3) and the first estimate of Lemma 4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|S_3| &\leqslant \sum_{2y<d\leqslant 2x} s_r(d)\tau(d)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x+y}{d}\right\rfloor - \left\lfloor\frac{x}{d}\right\rfloor\right)\\ &\ll x^{\varepsilon}\sum_{2y<d\leqslant 2x} s_r(d)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x+y}{d}\right\rfloor - \left\lfloor\frac{x}{d}\right\rfloor\right)\\ &\ll x^{2\varepsilon}\left(x^{\frac{1}{2r+1}} + yx^{-\frac{1}{6(4r-1)(2r-1)}} + y^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}}\right). \qquad (7)\end{aligned}$$

Collecting (5), (6) and (7) and noticing that

$$\left(x^{r-1}y^{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r^2}} \geqslant x^{\frac{1}{2r+1}}$$

whenever $y \geqslant x^{\frac{1}{2r+1}}$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ f(n)=k}} 1 = \frac{y}{\zeta(r)}H_{f,k,r}(1) + O_{\varepsilon,r}\left\{x^{\varepsilon}\left(\left(x^{r-1}y^{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r^2}} + yx^{-\frac{1}{6(4r-1)(2r-1)}} + y^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}}\right)\right\}$$

if $x^{\frac{1}{2r+1}} \leqslant y \leqslant 4^{-2r^2}x$. In order to prove the existence of the local density, we generalize [6, Theorem 1]. Every positive integer $n$ may be uniquely written as $n = ab$, with $(a,b) = 1$, $a$ $r$-free and $b$ $r$-full. Since $f$ is multiplicative, $f(n) = f(a)f(b) = f(b)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{n\leqslant x\\ f(n)=k}} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{b\leqslant x\\ f(b)=k}} s_r(b)\sum_{\substack{a\leqslant x/b\\ (a,b)=1}}\mu_r(a)\\ &= \sum_{\substack{b\leqslant x\\ f(b)=k}} s_r(b)\left\{\frac{x}{\zeta(r)\Psi_r(b)} + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{1/r}2^{\omega(b)}\right)\right\}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi_r(b) := b\prod_{p\mid b}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p^{r-1}}\right).$$

Using the bound

$$\sum_{\substack{b\leqslant x\\ f(b)=k}}\frac{s_r(b)2^{\omega(b)}}{b^{1/r}}\ll x^{\varepsilon}\sum_{b\leqslant x}\frac{s_r(b)}{b^{1/r}}\ll x^{\varepsilon}$$

we get

$$\sum_{\substack{n\leqslant x\\ f(n)=k}}1=\frac{x}{\zeta(r)}\sum_{\substack{b\leqslant x\\ f(b)=k}}\frac{s_r(b)}{\Psi_r(b)}+O_{r,\varepsilon}\left(x^{1/r+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{\substack{b\leqslant x\\ f(b)=k}}\frac{bs_r(b)}{\Psi_r(b)}\leqslant\sum_{b\leqslant x}s_r(b)\ll x^{1/r}$$

so that the Dirichlet series of the multiplicative function $b\longmapsto\frac{bs_r(b)\mathbf{1}_{f,k}(b)}{\Psi_r(b)}$ is absolutely convergent in the half-plane $\sigma>\frac{1}{r}$. Hence the series

$$\sum_{\substack{b\geqslant 1\\ f(b)=k}}\frac{s_r(b)}{\Psi_r(b)}$$

converges absolutely, which implies that the limit of

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{\substack{n\leqslant x\\ f(n)=k}}1$$

exists as $x\to\infty$ and is equal to

$$d_{f,k}=\frac{1}{\zeta(r)}\sum_{\substack{b=1\\ f(b)=k}}^{\infty}\frac{s_r(b)}{\Psi_r(b)}=\operatorname*{Res}_{s=1}\left(L\left(s,\mathbf{1}_{f,k}\right)\right)=\frac{H_{f,k,r}(1)}{\zeta(r)}$$

achieving the proof of Theorem 1. □

## 5. Applications

5.1. **Abelian groups.** As stated in Section 1, the most famous example of prime-independent multiplicative function $f$ satisfying $f(p)=1$ is the arithmetic function $a$ counting the number of finite, non-isomorphic abelian groups of a given order. We have $a\left(p^{\alpha}\right)=P(\alpha)$ where $P$ is the unrestricted partition function and, from the generating function of $P$, we deduce that

$$L(s,a)=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\zeta(js)\quad(\sigma>1).$$

Hence Theorem 1 may be applied with $r=2$ giving the following result.

**Corollary 5.** *Let $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ and $x^{\frac{1}{5}+\varepsilon}\leqslant y\leqslant 2^{-16}x$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ a(n)=k}}1=d_{a,k}y+O_{\varepsilon}\left\{x^{1/8+\varepsilon}y^{3/8}+yx^{-1/42+\varepsilon}+y^{4/5}x^{\varepsilon}\right\}.$$

5.2. **Plane partitions.** Let $P_2(n)$ be the number of plane partitions of $n$ (see [10] for instance) whose generating function is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_2(n)x^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1-x^j\right)^{-j} \quad (|x|<1).$$

Let $f$ be the multiplicative function such that $f(1)=1$ and $f\left(p^\alpha\right) = P_2(\alpha)$. We deduce from the generating function above that

$$\left(f\left(p^\alpha\right)\right)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}} = (1,1,3,6,13,24,48,86,160,282,500,859,1479,\dots)$$

and also

$$L(s,f) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta(js)^j \quad (\sigma>1).$$

Theorem 1 may be applied with $r=2$ again.

**Corollary 6.** *Let $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$, the function $f$ defined as above and $x^{\frac{1}{5}+\varepsilon}\leqslant y\leqslant 2^{-16}x$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ f(n)=k}} 1 = d_{f,k}y + O_\varepsilon\left\{x^{1/8+\varepsilon}y^{3/8} + yx^{-1/42+\varepsilon} + y^{4/5}x^\varepsilon\right\}.$$

5.3. **Semisimple rings.** Another example, closely related to the function $a$, is the multiplicative function $S$ counting the number of finite, non-isomorphic semisimple rings with a given number of elements. For any prime-powers $p^\alpha$, $S\left(p^\alpha\right) = P^\star(\alpha)$ where $P^\star$ is the number of partitions of $\alpha$ into parts which are square. Since the generating function of $P^\star$ is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^\star(n)x^n = \prod_{q=1}^{\infty}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-x^{qm^2}\right)^{-1} \quad (|x|<1)$$

we infer that

$$\left(S\left(p^\alpha\right)\right)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}} = (1,1,2,3,6,8,13,18,29,40,58,79,115,154,213,\dots)$$

and

$$L(s,S) = \prod_{q=1}^{\infty}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\zeta(qm^2s) \quad (\sigma>1).$$

**Corollary 7.** *Let $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ and $x^{\frac{1}{5}+\varepsilon}\leqslant y\leqslant 2^{-16}x$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ S(n)=k}} 1 = d_{S,k}y + O_\varepsilon\left\{x^{1/8+\varepsilon}y^{3/8} + yx^{-1/42+\varepsilon} + y^{4/5}x^\varepsilon\right\}.$$

5.4. **Exponential divisors.** A positive integer $d=p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_s^{a_s}$ is said to be an *exponential divisor* of a positive integer $n=p_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$ if and only if, for all $i\in\{1,\cdots,s\}$, $a_i \mid \alpha_i$. It is customary to denote by $\tau^{(e)}(n)$ the number of exponential divisors of $n$. The function $\tau^{(e)}$ is multiplicative and satisfies $\tau^{(e)}\left(p^\alpha\right)=\tau(\alpha)$. The same is true for the *unitary* exponential divisor function $\tau^{(e)\star}$ for which $\tau^{(e)\star}\left(p^\alpha\right)=2^{\omega(\alpha)}$.

**Corollary 8.** *Let $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ and $x^{\frac{1}{5}+\varepsilon}\leqslant y\leqslant 2^{-16}x$ be real numbers. If $f=\tau^{(e)}$ or $f=\tau^{(e)\star}$*

$$\sum_{\substack{x<n\leqslant x+y\\ f(n)=k}} 1 = d_{f,k}y + O_\varepsilon\left\{x^{1/8+\varepsilon}y^{3/8} + yx^{-1/42+\varepsilon} + y^{4/5}x^\varepsilon\right\}.$$

5.5. **The $r$-th power divisor function.** Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$ fixed and define the divisor function $\tau^{(r)}$ by $\tau^{(r)}(1) = 1$ and, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$

$$\tau^{(r)}(n) = \sum_{d^r | n} 1.$$

Then $\tau^{(r)}$ is multiplicative and

$$\tau^{(r)}\left(p^\alpha\right) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{r} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad L\left(s, \tau^{(r)}\right) = \zeta(s)\zeta(rs).$$

**Corollary 9.** *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 2}$ fixed, and let $x^{\frac{1}{2r+1}+\varepsilon} \leqslant y \leqslant 4^{-2r^2}x$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{x < n \leqslant x+y \\ \tau^{(r)}(n)=k}} 1 = d_{\tau^{(r)},k} y + O_{r,\varepsilon}\left\{ \left(x^{r-1}y^{r+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r^2}} x^\varepsilon + yx^{-\frac{1}{6(4r-1)(2r-1)}+\varepsilon} + y^{1-\frac{2(r-1)}{r(3r-1)}} x^\varepsilon \right\}.$$

## References


[1] O. Bordellès, Multiplicative functions over short segments, *Acta Arith.* **157** (2013), 1–10.

[2] E. Krätzel, The distribution of values of the enumerating function of finite, non-isomorphic Abelian groups in short intervals, *Arch. Math.* **91** (2008), 518–525.

[3] E. Krätzel and D. Wolke, Über die Anzahl del abelschen Gruppen gegebener Ordnung, *Analysis* **14** (1994), 257–266.

[4] A. Ivić, The distribution of values of the enumerating function of non-isomorphic Abelian groups of finite order, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **30** (1978), 374–379.

[5] A. Ivić, On the number of finite non-isomorphic abelian groups in short intervals, *Math. Nachr.* **101** (1981), 257–271.

[6] A. Ivić, On the number of Abelian groups of a given order and on certain related multiplicative functions, *J. Number Theory* **16** (1983), 119–137.

[7] D. G. Kendall and R. A. Rankin, On the number of Abelian groups of a given order, *Quart. J. Math.* **18** (1947), 197–208.

[8] Li Hongze, On the number of finite non-isomorphic Abelian groups in short intervals, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **117** (1995), 1–5.

[9] G. Nowak, On the value distribution of a class of arithmetic functions, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **37** (1996), 117–134.

[10] R. P. Stanley, Theory and applications of plane partitions I, II, *Studies Appl. Math.* **50** (1971), 167-188, 259-279.

[11] W. Zhai, On prime-independent multiplicative functions, in: *Diophantine Problems and Analytic Number Theory*, Proceeding of a symposium held at the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, oct 21-25, 2002.



2 allée de la combe, 43000 Aiguilhe, France
*E-mail address*: borde43@wanadoo.fr